

## *Descendants of Thomas Matthew Fitz Rogers*

### *Generation No. 1*

1. THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup> ROGERS (*BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 1565 in Wales/Scotland, North England, and died 20 Feb 1610/11 in Stratford, Warwick, Connecticut. He married MARY ANN MCMURDOCK 1586. She was born 1575 in Scotland.

More About THOMAS ROGERS and MARY MCMURDOCK:  
Marriage: 1586

Children of THOMAS ROGERS and MARY MCMURDOCK are:

2.
  - i. THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup> ROGERS, b. 1587, Northern England; d. 11 Jan 1620/21, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts.
  - ii. EDMUND ROGERS, b. 1589.
  - iii. WILLIAM ROGERS, b. 1591.
  - iv. GEORGE ROGERS, b. 1594.
  - v. JOHN ROGERS, b. 1609.

### *Generation No. 2*

2. THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup> ROGERS (*THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 1587 in Northern England, and died 11 Jan 1620/21 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts. He married (1) GRACE [MAKIN MEKIN] MCKINN. She was born 1592 in Essex, England. He married (2) ALICE "ELSGEN" COSFORD.

Notes for THOMAS JOHN ROGERS:

Book; "James Rogers of New London, CT and his Descendants, 1902," Boston: The Compiler.

Most authorities agree that the name Roger, Rogers, is derived from the word Hroud in Frank; Hrother is the North and Ruhm in the modern German, meaning fame or glory. Historical tradition associates the name with all that is true and noble; another meaning ascribed to it is "one whose word is reliable." Others claim that the name is derived from the French, since we read that Roger I, Count of Sicily and Calabria, and the founder of the Norman dynasty in the countries, was born in Normandy, France, about 1031. This suggests that the English Rogers families were possibly originally Norman French and went to England with William the Conqueror.

John Rogers The Martyr

Reverend John Rogers, the Martyr of the Anglican Reformation during the Marian Period was born in 1507 near Birmingham, County Warwick, England. He was educated at Pembroke Hall, Cambridge, receiving his BA degree in 1525. That same year he was chosen to the Cardinals College at Oxford, made a junior canon and soon thereafter went into the holy orders in the Roman Catholic Church.

On his conversion to Protestantism, Rogers determined to publish the entire Bible in the English language. It was printed at the Antwerp Press and there are three original copies in the British Museum. Rogers lived in Wittenburg, Germany for 11 years, returning to England upon the accession of Edward VI to the English throne. He diligently labored in the work of the church until the accession on Queen Mary to the throne, when on Sunday after her triumphal entry into London 16 July 1553, he preached a sermon at St. Paul's Cross wherein he exhorted the people to adhere to Protestantism. This sermon was the beginning of his end and he was summoned before the Privy Council because of it. Rogers was sent to Newgate Prison in 1553/4 and remained there a year. He was brought again before the Privy Council in January 1554/55 and condemned and sentenced as an excommunicated

heretic to be burned to death at the stake. The sentence was carried out on 4 Feb 1554/5. He was offered a pardon if he would renounce Protestantism but refused. (sources: "The Rogers Family of England" by John Cox; "John Rogers, The Compiler of the First Authorized English Bible, the Pioneer of the English Reformation and its First Martyr" by Joseph L. Chester; and "Families Directly Descended From All the Royal Families in Europe")

More About THOMAS JOHN ROGERS:

Fact 1: Died during the first winter-buried on Cole's Hill

Fact 2: Mayflower Passenger along with his son Joseph

Fact 3: Member of Leyden Congregation

Fact 4: 1647, appointed Lt. of the military company at Nawsett

Fact 5: It appears he had multiple wives and children could belong to different moms

Fact 7: some have parents of Thomas: William Rogers and Eleanor ? and

Fact 8: William and Joan Rogers as grandparents

Fact 9: 11 Nov 1620, arrived in America, at Cape Cod, on the Mayflower

Fact 10: One of the 41 signers of the Mayflower Compact-signer #18

Children of THOMAS ROGERS and GRACE MCKINN are:

3. i. JOSEPH<sup>16</sup> ROGERS, b. 1608, Denham, Buckinghamshire, England; d. 02 Jan 1677/78, Eastham, Barnstable, Massachusetts.
- ii. THOMAS ROGERS, b. 1609.
4. iii. WILLIAM ROGERS, b. 07 Feb 1612/13, Denham, Buckinghamshire, England; d. 1669, Huntington, Suffolk, England.
5. iv. JAMES [SR.] ROGERS, b. 1615, Dedham, Essex, England; d. Feb 1687/88.
- v. NOAH ROGERS, b. 1617.
6. vi. JOHN ROGERS, b. 06 Jul 1617, Stratford, Warwick, Connecticut; d. 25 Aug 1691, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts.
7. vii. ELIZABETH ROGERS, b. 16 Nov 1617, Dedham, Essex, England; d. 27 Jul 1680, Watertown, Middlesex, Massachusetts.

### Generation No. 3

**3.** JOSEPH<sup>16</sup> ROGERS (*THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER (II OF SICILY)<sup>4</sup> TANCREDO, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDO<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 1608 in Denham, Buckinghamshire, England, and died 02 Jan 1677/78 in Eastham, Barnstable, Massachusetts. He married HANNAH UNKNOWN 1632 in Plymouth, Massachusetts. She was born 1609 in England.

More About JOSEPH ROGERS and HANNAH UNKNOWN:

Marriage: 1632, Plymouth, Massachusetts

Children of JOSEPH ROGERS and HANNAH UNKNOWN are:

- i. SARAH<sup>17</sup> ROGERS, b. 06 Aug 1633.
- ii. JOSEPH ROGERS, b. 17 Jul 1635.
- iii. THOMAS ROGERS, b. 29 Mar 1638.
- iv. ELIZABETH ROGERS, b. 29 Sep 1639.
- v. JOHN ROGERS, b. 03 Apr 1642.
- vi. MARY ROGERS, b. 22 Sep 1644.
- vii. JAMES ROGERS, b. 18 Oct 1648.
- viii. HANNAH ROGERS, b. 08 Aug 1652.

**4.** WILLIAM<sup>16</sup> ROGERS (*THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER (II OF SICILY)<sup>4</sup> TANCREDO, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDO<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 07 Feb 1612/13 in Denham, Buckinghamshire, England, and died 1669 in Huntington, Suffolk, England. He married ANN HALL 02 Feb 1630/31 in South England (Stratford-On-The-Avon). She was born 16 Feb 1612/13 in South England (Stratford-On-The-Avon).

More About WILLIAM ROGERS and ANN HALL:

Marriage: 02 Feb 1630/31, South England (Stratford-On-The-Avon)

Children of WILLIAM ROGERS and ANN HALL are:

- i. ANNE<sup>17</sup> ROGERS, b. 07 Mar 1631/32.
- ii. OBADIAH ROGERS, b. 29 Sep 1633.
- iii. JONATHAN ROGERS, b. 04 Sep 1636.
- iv. MARY ROGERS, b. 1638.
- v. JOHN ROGERS, b. 1640.
- vi. SAMUEL ROGERS, b. 1642.
- vii. HANNAH ROGERS, b. 1644.
- viii. NOAH ROGERS, b. 1645.

5. JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup> ROGERS (*THOMAS JOHN*<sup>15</sup>, *THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ*<sup>14</sup>, *BERNARD FITZ*<sup>13</sup>, *JOHN (THE MARTYR)*<sup>12</sup>, *JOHN THOMAS*<sup>11</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>10</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>9</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>8</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>7</sup> *ROGER*, *AARON JOHN FITZ*<sup>6</sup>, *AARON FITZ*<sup>5</sup>, *ROGER [II OF SICILY]*<sup>4</sup> *TANCRED*, *COUNT II*<sup>3</sup> *ROGER, KING OF SICILY*, *ROGER*<sup>2</sup> *I, COUNT OF SICILY*, *TANCRED*<sup>1</sup> *DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 1615 in Dedham, Essex, England, and died Feb 1687/88. He married ELIZABETH ROWLAND, daughter of SAMUEL ROWLAND. She was born Abt. 1621 in Stratford, Fairfield, Connecticut, and died Bef. 1687.

Notes for JAMES [SR.] ROGERS:

In compiling the History, careful search was made for every item of reliable information concerning John Rogers and the Rogerenes, and every fact that was discovered is set plainly before the reader, in chronological order.

John and James Rogers, Jr., in the course of trade, visited Newport, R.I., and there first embraced Sabbatarian principles and were baptized in 1674; Jonathan in 1675; James Rogers, senior, with his wife and daughter Bathsheba, in 1676, and these were received as members of the Seventh Day Church at Newport. 1

This family had many members who belonged to a branch of 7th Day Baptists called Rogerenes. They were often fined and punished for their public activities in speaking out for these beliefs. ( Including: James and his brother John and his sister, Bathsheba]. The Rogerenes eventually became part of the Quaker movement.

The Rogerenes was a religious community during the colonial times in Connecticut. It was founded in New London in 1674 by John Rogers (1648-1721), who was influenced by the Quakers and opposed to the Established Puritan church . James Rogers and his family; Rogerenes first people in Connecticut to denounce taxation without representation.

From: Anna B. Williams. The Rogerenes: Part II, History of the Rogerenes. Boston: Stanhope Press, 1904  
AMONG noticeable young men in the Colony of Connecticut, previous to 1640, is James Rogers.1 His name first appears on record at New Haven, but shortly after, in 1637, he is a soldier from Saybrook in the Pequot war.2 He is next at Stratford, where he acquires considerable real estate and marries Elizabeth, daughter of Samuel Rowland, a landed proprietor of that place, who eventually leaves a valuable estate to his grandson, Samuel Rogers, and presumably other property to his daughter, who seems to have been an only child. A few years later, James Rogers appears at Milford. His wife joins the Congregational church there in 1645, and he himself joins this church in 1652.

He has evidently been a baker on a large scale for some time previous to 1655, at which date complaint is made to the General Court in regard to a quantity of biscuit furnished by him, which was exported to Virginia and the Barbadoes, upon which occasion he states that the flour furnished by the miller for this bread was not properly ground. The miller substantially admits that he did not at that time understand the correct manner of grinding.

There is a tradition in the New London family, which can be traced as far back as 1750, that James Rogers of New London was a grandson, or greatgrandson, of John Rogers the martyr. Up to this date (1904) no proof has been found to substantiate this claim. The same claim has been made by descendants of other first settlers of the name of Rogers, and their traditions are also proven to have been of early date. These long-standing and very persistent traditions may possibly be explained by some future discovery. That James Rogers may build his house near the mill,1 the Governor conveys to him a piece of his own land adjoining, upon which Mr. Rogers builds a stone dwelling. He also builds a stone bakery by the cove and has a wharf at this point.2

The long Main street of the town takes a sharp turn around the head of the cove, past the mill and to the house of the Governor, the latter standing on the east side of the cove, within a stone's throw of the mill.

James Rogers is soon not only the principal business man of this port, but, next to the Governor, the richest man in the colony. His property in the colony much exceeds that of the Governor. He is prominent in town and church affairs, he and his wife having joined the New London church; also frequently an assistant at the Superior Court and deputy at the General Court. His children are receiving a superior education for the time, as becomes their father's means and station. Life and activity are all about these growing youth, at the bakery, at the mill, at the wharf. Many are the social comings and goings, not only to and from the Governor's house, just beside them, but to and from their own house. His extensive business dealings and his attendance at court have brought James Rogers in contact with intelligent and prosperous men all over the colony, among whom he is a peer. His education is good, if not superior, for the time. He numbers among his personal friends some of the principal planters in this colony and neighboring colonies. In 1666 James Rogers retires from active business. His sons Samuel and Joseph are capable young men past their majority.

In 1664 he gave his son Samuel land "by the mill" "west side of my wharf."

The first Baptist church of Newport was formed before May, 1639, by some excommunicated members of the church at Boston and others. From its organization, it rejected the supervision of civil magistrates. Dr. John Clarke was its founder and first pastor. In 1671, several members of Mr. Clarke's church organized themselves into the Sabbatarian or Seventh Day Baptist Church of Newport (then Aquedneck) which James Rogers and his family joined, as above stated.

In 1676 the fines and imprisonments of James Rogers and his sons, for profanation of the Sabbath, commenced. For this and for neglect of the established worship, they and some of their followers were usually arraigned at every session of the court, for a long course of years. The fine was at first five shillings, then ten shillings, then fifteen shillings. At the June court, 1677, the following persons were arraigned and each fined £5: — James Rogers, senior, for high-handed, presumptuous profanation of the Sabbath, by attending to his work; Elizabeth Rogers, his wife, and James and Jonathan, for the same. John Rogers, on examination, said he, had been hard at work making shoes on the first day of the week, and he would have done the same had the shop stood under the window of Mr. Wetherell's house; yea, under the window of the meeting house. Bathsheba Smith, for fixing a scandalous paper on the meeting house. Mary, wife of James Rogers, junior, for absence from public worship. Again, in September, 1677, the court ordered that John Rogers should be called to account once a month and fined £5 each time; others of the family were amerced to the same amount, for blasphemy against the Sabbath, calling it an idol, and for stigmatizing the reverend ministers as hirelings. After this, sitting in the stocks and whipping were added.

The elder James Rogers was an upright, circumspect man. His death occurred in February, 1688. The will is on file in the probate office in New London in the handwriting of his son John, from the preamble of which we quote.

"What I have of this world I leave among you, desiring you not to fall out about it; but let your love one to another appear more than to the estate I leave with you, which is but of this world; and for your comfort I signify to you that I have a perfect assurance of an interest in Jesus Christ and an eternal happy estate in the world to come, and do know and see that my name is written in the book of life, and therefore mourn not for me as they that are without hope."

his will in toto:

The last will and testament of James Rogers Senior, being in perfect memory & understanding; but under the the (sic) hand of God by sickneffe.

This I leaue wth my Wife,& all my Children, Sonnes & Daughters; I being old & knowing yt ye time of my departure is at hand.

What I haue of this world, I leaue Among you, defiring you not to fall out or contend about it; but lett yr loue one to another appear more; the rot he eftate I leaue wth you wc is but of this world.

And for yr comfort I signifie to you, yt I haue A perfect affurance; of an interest in Jesus Christ, & An Eternal happy eftate in ye world to come, and do know & see yt my name is written in ye book of life; & thereore mourn not for mee: as they yt are without hope.

I committ my spirit into ye hand of god almighty, difiring yt my body it may be buried (hopeing for A refurrection) and wt is Expended there upon let it be paid out of ye eftate I leaue.

# I defire yt all my debts may be paid out of ye eftate, I leave, I know of no old Debts unpaid, nor any great matter of Debts that I owe.

# My land at mistick I bequeath to my three Eldest sons Samuel Jofeph & John; it being first (by ym) Equally divided into three partes, & then let it be devided to ym by lot yt Each one may know wc his part is: for as ye lot fas so shal Each ones part be. They paying to my daughter Elizabeth twenty pounds.

# To my son James I bequeath, Gofhon neck & yt he shal haue A highway to it, over ye pond where I now goe.

# to my son Jonathan my Houfing: & and so farr as Magunck fence we life within my field fence; & ye bounds between my son James & son Jonathan yt is to say between Gofhon & my field : shal be ye great Rock we lise between ye pond and ye sea, on ye north side of ye beach A line being run north & south from ye sd Rock shal be the bounds between them.

# To my son Jonathan I bequeath twenty Acres in ye new paster ; Joyning to his houfe & running on ye north side of my field fence : & bounded on ye East wth ye lane running between ye head of my son James his home lot & my son Jonathan his now dwelling houfe.

# To my son James & son Jonathan I bequeath al ye rest of my land lying in ye new paster as also al ye rest of my land lying in ye General neck : it being divided by ym into two parts first, & then as ye lot comes forth shal Each one know which his part is, ( And my will in yt my son James pay to my daughter Elizabeth twenty pounds within a year after the death of his mother, my wife) And yt my son Jonathan pay to my daughter Elizabeth fifty pounds within three years after ye death of my Wife ten of it ye first year : after her death.

# To my son, John I leaue ye land yt I had of Robert Allyn lying on ye East side of ye River yt goeth to Norwich he paying to his sister my Daughter Bathfhua ye sum of twnety pound : within a year after ye death of my wife, & if he sees caufe not so to do, my daughter Bathfhua fhall haue ye fd land.

# Ans all ye rest of my eftate, as cattel houfehold goods debts & parfonable eftate I leaue wth my wife to difpofe of as shee sees good : only to pay to my daughter Elizabeth ten pounds if shees sees good wth ye advice of my sonne John : I also giue liberty to my Wife to sell of difpofe of any part of my land of eftate here willed if shee sees caufe so to do, without offence to any of my Children : & to haue ye ufe of my houfing during her life time to liue in or let out.

Some cattel was left wth me by my son John, ufe as my own not giving me power to giue or will ym away but did promife me yt what I sould or killed for ye families ufe he would never demaund pay for, but only thofe yt should be remaining in my hand,

The Chamber where my son John now liues I leaue wth him with ye Roome under it for him to liue in during his life time : if my wife see caufe not to order it other wife.

If any difference should arife about my land here willed or Any parte of my eftate for want of a plain difcovery whether about bounds or other wife, my wil is yt there shall be no awing amonge my children before earthly Judges but yt ye Controverfie be ended by It & so I referr ye Judgement to god : & as ye lot comes forth so shal it be : And this I declare to be my last will & teftatnent as witnefs my hand this Eleventh day of ye ninth month one thoufand six hundred eighty & three.

Witness James Rogers

Sam Beebee. Snor

Mary Beebee

Notes for ELIZABETH ROWLAND:

John and James Rogers, Jr., in the course of trade, visited Newport, R.I., and there first embraced Sabbatarian principles and were baptized in 1674; Jonathan in 1675; James Rogers, senior, with his wife and daughter Bathsheba, in 1676, and these were received as members of the Seventh Day Church at Newport.

Elizabeth Rogers was charged with stigmatizing the reverend clergy as hirelings, and with calling the Sabbath an idol. She was fined five pounds. There was not much freedom of speech in those days. As to calling the Sabbath an idol, that was no more than saying it was unduly revered. It was so among the Jews, at the time our Saviour endeavored to disabuse them of the fallacy and to teach them that "the Sabbath was made for man and not man for the Sabbath." The brazen serpent ordained of God for the healing of the people, when it became an object of idolatrous worship, was ordered to be taken to pieces.

Children of JAMES ROGERS and ELIZABETH ROWLAND are:

8. i. SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup> ROGERS, b. 12 Dec 1640, Milford, New Haven, Connecticut; d. 01 Dec 1713, New London, Connecticut.
9. ii. JOHN [SR.] ROGERS, b. 1648.
10. iii. BATHSHEBA ROGERS, b. 30 Dec 1650, New London, Conn.; d. 23 Nov 1711.
11. iv. JAMES [LAWYER] ROGERS, b. 15 Feb 1651/52.
12. v. JONATHAN ROGERS, b. 31 Dec 1655, New London, Conn.; d. 1697, drowned near Gull Island, New London.
13. vi. ELIZABETH ROGERS, b. 15 Apr 1658, New London, Conn.; d. 10 Jun 1716, Orient, Suffolk, New York.
14. vii. JOSEPH ROGERS, b. 14 May 1646, New London, Conn.; d. 08 Nov 1713.

6. JOHN<sup>16</sup> ROGERS (*THOMAS JOHN*<sup>15</sup>, *THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ*<sup>14</sup>, *BERNARD FITZ*<sup>13</sup>, *JOHN (THE MARTYR)*<sup>12</sup>, *JOHN THOMAS*<sup>11</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>10</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>9</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>8</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>7</sup> ROGER, *AARON JOHN FITZ*<sup>6</sup>, *AARON FITZ*<sup>5</sup>, *ROGER [II OF SICILY]*<sup>4</sup> *TANCRED*, *COUNT II*<sup>3</sup> *ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER*<sup>2</sup>*I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCRED*<sup>1</sup> *DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 06 Jul 1617 in Stratford, Warwick, Connecticut, and died 25 Aug 1691 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts. He married ANNA CHURCHMAN 16 Apr 1639 in Plymouth, Massachusetts (Plymouth Colony). She was born 1617 in Huntington, Suffolk, England.

More About JOHN ROGERS and ANNA CHURCHMAN:

Marriage: 16 Apr 1639, Plymouth, Massachusetts (Plymouth Colony)

Children of JOHN ROGERS and ANNA CHURCHMAN are:

- i. ABIGAIL<sup>17</sup> ROGERS, b. 1641.
15. ii. JOHN ROGERS, b. 01 Mar 1640/41, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts; d. 28 Jun 1732, Barrington, Providence, Rhode Island.
- iii. HANNAH ROGERS, b. 1642.
- iv. ELIZABETH ROGERS, b. 1652.

7. ELIZABETH<sup>16</sup> ROGERS (*THOMAS JOHN*<sup>15</sup>, *THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ*<sup>14</sup>, *BERNARD FITZ*<sup>13</sup>, *JOHN (THE MARTYR)*<sup>12</sup>, *JOHN THOMAS*<sup>11</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>10</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>9</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>8</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>7</sup> ROGER, *AARON JOHN FITZ*<sup>6</sup>, *AARON FITZ*<sup>5</sup>, *ROGER [II OF SICILY]*<sup>4</sup> *TANCRED*, *COUNT II*<sup>3</sup> *ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER*<sup>2</sup>*I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCRED*<sup>1</sup> *DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 16 Nov 1617 in Dedham, Essex, England, and died 27 Jul 1680 in Watertown, Middlesex, Massachusetts. She married DANIEL SMITH 1642 in Watertown, Middlesex, Massachusetts. He was born 1610 in Colchester, England.

More About DANIEL SMITH and ELIZABETH ROGERS:

Marriage: 1642, Watertown, Middlesex, Massachusetts

Child of ELIZABETH ROGERS and DANIEL SMITH is:

- i. DANIEL<sup>17</sup> SMITH, b. 27 Sep 1642.

#### Generation No. 4

8. SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup> ROGERS (*JAMES [SR.]*<sup>16</sup>, *THOMAS JOHN*<sup>15</sup>, *THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ*<sup>14</sup>, *BERNARD FITZ*<sup>13</sup>, *JOHN (THE MARTYR)*<sup>12</sup>, *JOHN THOMAS*<sup>11</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>10</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>9</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>8</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>7</sup> ROGER, *AARON JOHN FITZ*<sup>6</sup>, *AARON FITZ*<sup>5</sup>, *ROGER [II OF SICILY]*<sup>4</sup> *TANCRED*, *COUNT II*<sup>3</sup> *ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER*<sup>2</sup>*I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCRED*<sup>1</sup> *DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 12 Dec 1640 in Milford, New Haven, Connecticut, and died 01 Dec 1713 in New London, Connecticut. He married MARY STANTON 17 Nov 1662 in Hartford Co., Connecticut, daughter of THOMAS STANTON and ANNE LORD. She was born 1645 in Stonington, New London, Connecticut.

Notes for SAMUEL [SR.] ROGERS:

Brainard, H.W., Gilbert, and Torrey, *The Gilbert Family—Descendants of Thomas Gilbert, of Mt. Wollastin (Braintree), Windsor, and Wethersfield*, 1953

Stanton Genealogy;

Descendants of Thomas Lord of Hartford, Connecticut by Kenneth Lord:

Samuel Rogers, the son of James Rogers was married unto Mary the daughter of Thomas Stanton the 23d of November- 1662.

In 1680, he moved to Mohegan, New London County, Connecticut and became one of the first settlers there.

From: Anna B. Williams. *The Rogerenes: Part II, History of the Rogerenes*. Boston: Stanhope Press, 1904  
Samuel is well fitted to take charge of the bakery. Joseph inclines to the life of a country gentleman. John, an active youth of eighteen, is the scholar of the family. He writes his father's deeds and other business documents, which indicates some knowledge of the law. Besides being sons of a rich man, these are exceptionally capable young men. That there is no stain upon their reputations is indicated by the favor with which they are regarded by certain parents of marriageable daughters. In this year occurs the marriage of Samuel to the daughter of Thomas Stanton, who is a prominent man in the colony and interpreter between the General Court and the Indians. The parents of each make a handsome settlement upon the young people, James Rogers giving his son the stone dwelling-house and the bakery. This young man has recently sold the farm received from his grandfather, Samuel Rowland. Having also grants from the town and lands from his father (to say nothing of gifts from Owaneco), together with a flourishing business, Samuel Rogers is a rich man at an early age.

Somewhat before the marriage of Samuel, his father, in anticipation of this event, established himself upon the Great Neck, on a farm bought in 1660, of a prominent settler named Obadiah Bruen. This is one of the old Indian planting grounds so valuable in these forest days. Yet James Rogers does not reside long on the beautiful bank of Robin Hood's Bay (now Jordan Cove), for in this same year his son Joseph, not yet twenty-one years of age, receives this place, "the farm where I now dwell" and also "all my other lands on the Great Neck," as a gift from his father. All the "other lands" being valuable, this is a large settlement. (It appears to mark the year of Joseph's marriage, although the exact date and also the name of the bride are unknown. The residence of James Rogers for the next few years is uncertain; it is not unlikely that he takes up his abode in one of his houses in town, or possibly at the Mamacock farm, on the Mohegan road and the "Great River," which place was formerly granted by the town to the Rev. Mr. Blinman, and, upon the latter's removal from New London, was purchased by Mr. Rogers.)

In October, 1679, there appears in the records of the General Court, an effort on the part of Samuel Rogers to clear a stigma from the reputation of his wife. She has been charged, by a man who has lost some money, with having appropriated it, and the County Court, by weight of circumstantial evidence, decided the case in favor of the plaintiff. In the case before the General Court, at this date, a man who has been imprisoned, on charge of being the true culprit, not being appeared against by Samuel Rogers, is released. (During the four years following this release, Samuel Rogers is at much expense in endeavoring to establish his wife's innocence. In 1683, he presents such clear proof of the falsity of the charge that the General Court grants him 300 acres of land, towards compensation for time and money expended in clearing his wife's name. In this instance, Samuel Rogers makes an address to the court, the substance of which does not appear on record. )

the enterprising and wealthy Samuel Rogers, allied by marriage to some of the most prominent Congregational church members in the colony, yet himself appearing to cultivate no intimate association with the New London church, the reason for which may well be divined. He is now making active preparations for leaving New London altogether, as soon as his son Samuel is old enough to assume control of the bakery, having chosen for his future home a large tract of land in the romantic wilds of Mohegan (New London "North Parish," – now Montville). He is a great favorite with the Mohegan chief, Owaneco, son of Uncas. The popularity of Samuel Rogers with the Indians is but one of many indications of the amiable and conciliatory character of this man. His simply standing aloof from the church against whose autocratic dictum his father and brothers judged it their duty to so strenuously rebel is characteristic of the man.

In December of this year, occurs the death of Samuel Rogers in his 73d year. Although this evidently superior man, by his distaste for controversy and public proceedings, as well as by his busy life in developing the new lands of Mohegan (whereby his name is written all over the early books of New London land records), has succeeded in hiding himself largely from the view of future generations; yet when compelled to present himself to such view, he has always been found acting the manly part. Throughout the early period of persecution, he was plainly in sympathy with his father and brothers, and proofs of continued sympathy with the Rogerene cause are evident to the last. He kept quietly but firmly aloof from the church that persecuted his relatives, despite counter-influences in his own family. For some twenty years of his early manhood, he conducted the bakery business on the former large scale and handed it to his son unimpaired. Besides the enterprises of his pioneer life, he was a ship-owner and business man at large. Although possessed of great wealth for his time, he so managed to distribute his property in his lifetime that little more than cattle and movables remained to be disposed of after his

death, which personal estate was left to his wife Joanna, the executrix. In his will is the following clause: "one cow and six sheep to be delivered unto John Rogers, son of brother John Rogers, to be disposed of as I have ordered him." Also the executrix is to act with the advice of above said John Rogers and Samuel Fox, "oldest son of my brother Samuel Fox " (husband of Bathsheba). At the writing of this will, February 13, 1713, the testator states that he is in "perfect health."

---

More About SAMUEL ROGERS and MARY STANTON:  
Marriage: 17 Nov 1662, Hartford Co., Connecticut

Children of SAMUEL ROGERS and MARY STANTON are:

- i. ANN<sup>18</sup> ROGERS, b. 1666; d. Died young.
16. ii. MARY ROGERS, b. 17 Apr 1667, New London, Connecticut; d. 30 Sep 1756, Hebron, Connecticut.
17. iii. SAMUEL [II] ROGERS, b. 22 Dec 1669, New London, Conn.; d. 02 Feb 1742/43, New London, CT.
- iv. JOSEPH ROGERS, b. 1670, New London, Conn..
18. v. ELIZABETH [TWIN?] ROGERS, b. 08 May 1673, New London, Connecticut; d. 1750.
- vi. ANNA [TWIN?] ROGERS, b. 08 May 1673, New London, Conn.; d. 26 Jul 1689.

Notes for ANNA [TWIN?] ROGERS:  
elizabeth's twin.

More About ANNA [TWIN?] ROGERS:  
Fact 1: twin to Elizabeth

19. vii. SARAH ROGERS, b. 09 Aug 1676, New London, Conn.; d. 13 Nov 1748.
20. viii. DANIEL [SR.] ROGERS, b. Abt. 1678, New London, Connecticut; d. 1772.
21. ix. JONATHAN [SR.] ROGERS, b. Abt. 1680, New London, Conn.; d. 1769.
- x. ANN MARY ROGERS, b. 08 May 1683, New London, Conn.; d. 26 Jul 1689.

**9. JOHN [SR.]<sup>17</sup> ROGERS** (*JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCRED, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCRED<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 1648. He married (1) SARAH COLES. He married (2) ELIZABETH GRISWOLD, daughter of MATTHEW GRISWOLD and ANNA WOLCOTT. She was born 1652 in Saybrook, Mddlsx, CT. He married (3) MARY RANSFORD Abt. 1700 in 25 years after his first wife divorced him.

Notes for JOHN [SR.] ROGERS:

From: Anna B. Williams. *The Rogerenes: Part II, History of the Rogerenes*. Boston: Stanhope Press, 1904

How far from the mind of the young lover, when, on the night before the happy day when he is to call Elizabeth his bride, he pens the writing<sup>1</sup> which is to give her the Mamacock farm, recently presented to him by his father, is a thought of anything that can part them until death itself. To this writing he adds: "I do here farther engage not to carry her out of the colony of Connecticut." This sentence goes to prove the great fondness of the parents for this daughter, her own loving desire to live always near them, and the ready compliance of the young lover with their wishes. He marries her at Blackhall, October 17, and takes her to the beautiful river farm which upon that day becomes her own. He does not take her to the farmhouse built by Mr. Blinman, but to a new and commodious dwelling, close by the Mohegan road, whose front room is 20 by 20, and whose big fireplaces, in every room, below and above, will rob the wintry blasts of their terror. The marriage settlement upon the young couple, by James Rogers and Matthew Griswold, includes provisions, furniture, horses, sheep, and kine.

John and James Rogers, Jr., in the course of trade, visited Newport, R.I., and there first embraced Sabbatarian principles and were baptized in 1674; Jonathan in 1675; James Rogers, senior, with his wife and daughter Bathsheba, in 1676, and these were received as members of the Seventh Day Church at Newport.<sup>1</sup>

Rogerenes: Cause of the divorce of John Rogers and Elizabeth Griswold as stated by their son.

Trumbull, in his "History of Connecticut," charged John Rogers with crimes from which the grand jury fully exonerated him, as by its printed records may be seen. These false and scandalous charges have been reiterated, again and again, and have found a place in Barber's "Historical Collections of Connecticut" against the clearest

testimony. His withdrawal from the standing religious order of the day aroused such hatred that many false accusations were made against him, which, like dragon's teeth sown over the land, have been springing up again and again.

John Rogers, James Rogers, Jr., Samuel Beebe, Jr., and Joanna Way are complained of for profaning God's holy day with servile work, and are grown to that height of impiety as to come at several times into the town to rebaptise several persons; and; when God's people were met together on the Lord's Day to worship God, several of them came and made great disturbance, behaving themselves in such a frantic manner as if possessed with a diabolical spirit, so affrighting and amazing that several women swooned and fainted away.<sup>1</sup> John Rogers to be whipped fifteen lashes and for unlawfully re-baptising, to pay £5. The others to be whipped.

At a session of the County Court, held at New London, September 20th, 1698, members of the court, Capt. Daniel Wetherell, esq., Justices William Ely and Nathaniel Lynde, Mr. Gurdon Saltonstall, minister of the gospel, plf. pr. contra John Rogers, Sr., def't, in an action of the case for defamation.

Whereas you, the said John Rogers, did some time in the month of June last, raise a lying, false and scandalous report against him, the said Mr. Gurdon Saltonstall, and did publish the same in the hearing of diverse persons, that is to say, did, in their hearing, openly declare that the said Saltonstall, having promised to dispute with you publicly on the holy Scriptures, did, contrary to his said engagement, shift or wave the said dispute which he promised you, which said false report he, the said Saltonstall, complaineth of as to his great scandal and to his damage unto such value as shall to the said court be made to appear. In this action the jury finds for the plaintiff £600 and costs of court £1 10s.

The £600 damages, equal perhaps to \$10,000 at the present day, was not more remarkable than the suit itself, which had no legal foundation. Lorenzo Dow tells "how to lie, cheat and kill according to law." But here is a deed — ought we not to call it a robbery? — done under cover, without the authority, of law. For the words alleged to have been spoken, action of slander was not legal.

John Rogers' father was the largest taxpayer in the colony, and had himself alone been subjected to the payment of one-tenth part of the cost of building the meeting-house, while John Rogers and his adherents, who were industrious, frugal, and thrifty people — or they never could have sustained the immense fines imposed upon them without being brought to abject poverty — had probably paid as much more; so we may suppose that at least one-fifth of the meeting-house, strictly speaking, belonged to them, while they were constantly being taxed for the support of this church of their persecutors.

Fast and far is spread the alarm that John Rogers, just returned from his foolhardy visit to Boston, is prostrated at Mamacock with the dread contagion, SMALLPOX. There are in the house, including himself, thirteen persons. Adding the servants who live in separate houses on the place, it is easy to swell the number to "upwards of twenty." The large farm, spreading upon both sides of the road, is itself a place of isolation. On the east is a broad river, separating it from the uninhabited Groton bank. On the north is wooded, uninhabited, Scotch Cap.<sup>1</sup> There is possibly a dwelling within half a mile at the northwest. A half-mile to the south is the house of John Bolles. What few other neighbors there may be, are well removed, and there are dwellings enough on the farm to shelter all not required for nursing the sick.

Had this illness occurred in the very heart of a crowded city, greater alarm or more stringent measures could not have ensued. There is a special meeting of Governor and Council at New Haven, October 14, on receipt of the news that John Rogers is ill at Mamacock with the smallpox, and that "on account of the size of the family, upwards of twenty persons, and the great danger of many persons going thither and other managements " (doubtless referring to scriptural methods of restoration and precaution) "there is great liability of the spread of the infection in that neighborhood." It is enacted that "effectual care be taken to prevent any intercourse between members of the family and other persons, also that three or four persons be impressed to care for the sick." There are a number of meetings of the Governor and Council over this matter (for full accounts of which see the published records of the General Court of Connecticut). Were it not for the court records, coming generations would be at loss to know whether the members of the family themselves, also John Bolles, John Waterhouse, John Culver and their wives, and others of the Rogerenes held firmly to their principles in this crisis, or whether they stood willingly and fearfully aloof, not daring to put their faith and theory to so dangerous and unpopular a test. Fortunately for Rogerene history, the testimony furnished by records of the special sittings of the Governor and

Council on this occasion, fully establishes not only the fidelity of the Rogerenes to New Testament teachings, but also their attachment and loyalty to their leader.

Three days after the official order that every relative and friend be banished from his bedside, and so with no one near him but the immunes pressed into the service, John Rogers yields up his life unto Him whom he has faithfully striven to obey, fearing not what man or any earthly chance might do to him. Thus dies John, the beloved and trusted son of James Rogers, and the last of that family.

John Rogers departed this life October 17th, the anniversary day of his marriage to Elizabeth Griswold. She cannot fail to note that fact, when the news reaches her. She is less than woman if, in the hour of that discovery, she does not go aside to weep.

The day after this death, at another special meeting of Governor and Council, it is enacted that "constant watch be kept about the house, to seize and imprison all persons who may attempt to hold any intercourse with the quarantined family." Little do those who have been forced to take charge at Mamacock and to punish all friendly "intruders about the premises" appreciate the deep sorrow and sympathy of these long-time neighbors and friends, who desire to hear the particulars, to show respect for the departed and to render aid to the family. Rudely rebuked, no doubt, are the most reasonable efforts on the part of these friends, to prove their love and fellowship in grief, although as yet no one else has the contagion and all thoughts are centred on this one great bereavement. When shortly Bathsheba, wife of John Rogers (now 2d) and their eldest son, John, are stricken, the dark shadows deepen over Mamacock, and friends of the family would fain show some sign of fearless fidelity, not only to those afflicted, but to the teachings of the New Testament and the Old, in regard to the power and good will of God to hold even the direst pestilence in His hand. Much of the endeavor on the part of these friends appears to be to provide the family with such necessaries for their comfort as have not yet been supplied by the authorities.

Two more of the family die of the disease, Bathsheba, wife of John Rogers, 2d, and John, their son. When all is over, John Rogers, 2d, is called upon to pay the expenses of official nurses, guards, provisions and medicines, a large bill, on which he is allowed no reduction.

Notes for SARAH COLES:

Sarah Cole, of Oyster Bay, L.I., a member of the Quaker Society of that locality. Although favorable to his suit, she is yet inclined to hesitate, on account of rumors that have been circulated in regard to his separation from Mary. In his prompt, straightforward way, he desires her to accompany him to Block Island, to learn from Mary herself if she has anything to say against him. This request is so reassuring, that the publication of their marriage intentions takes place at New London, July 4, 1714 ("Hempstead Diary"), after which they visit Mary at her home on Block Island. Mary gives Mrs. Cole so favorable an account of John Rogers and the treatment she herself received from him, that the ceremony is performed by Justice Wright before they leave the island.

[There is evidence, from the court records and testimony of Peter Pratt,<sup>2</sup> that this wife, Sarah, was of attractive personality, also that she was a zealous religious co-worker with her husband, and that they lived happily together at Mamacock, with John, Jr., and his family and the two children of Mary.]

Notes for ELIZABETH GRISWOLD:

She was convinced by her mother to take her 2 children and leave her husband, John Rogers as he was a rogerene and Elizabeth's mother convinced her that he was of the devil.

It seemed proper to give these particulars with regard to Rogers, because they were made the ground 1 of a petition by his wife for divorce, in May, 1675, which was granted by the "General Court," in October of the next year, and was followed in 1677 by another, also granted, for the custody of her children, her late husband being so "hettridox in his opinions and practice."

So doubtful was she herself of the lawfulness of her subsequent marriage with the father of Peter Pratt, that she never signed her name Elizabeth Pratt to any legal document; but "Elizabeth, daughter of Matthew Griswold," many instances of which are on record.

Notes for MARY RANSFORD:

25 years after the 'authorities' had dissolved his first marriage, he took another. He and Mary stood before the people and declared that as they had dissolved his first marriage by their 'authority' he did not believe in them as authority figures but was declaring to them that he and Mary were now married.

The manner he came to be deprived of this his second wife; for, after they had lived together about three years

and had had two children, the court had up John Rogers' wife and charged her with fornication, for having her last child, pretending no other reason than that the marriage was not lawful; and thereupon called her Mary Ransford, after her maiden name. And then vehemently urged her to give her oath who was the father of her child, which they charged to be by fornication, her husband standing by her in court, with the child in his arms, strictly commanding her not to take the oath, for these three following reasons: — First, because it was contrary to Christ's command, Matt. v, 34, "But I say unto you, swear not at all," etc.

A second reason was because it was a vain oath, inasmuch as they had been married so publickly, and then lived together three years after, and that he himself did not deny his child, nor did any person doubt who was the father of the child, etc.

A third reason was, he told her, they laid a snare for her, and wanted her oath to prove their charge, which was that the child was by fornication; so that her swearing would be that he was the father of that child by fornication, and so it would not only be a reproach to him and the child, but also a false oath, forasmuch as the child was not by fornication.

at length she declared she would not be ruled by John Rogers, but would accept of the court's favor, and so took the oath; and the favor which the court granted her was to pass the following sentence: —

New London, at a County Court, the 15th of September, 1702.

Mary Ransford of New London, being presented by the grand-jurymen to this court, for having a child by fornication, which was born in March last, and she being now brought before this court to answer for the same, being examined who was the father of her child, she said John Rogers senior of New London, to which she made oath, the said Rogers being present.

The court having considered her offense, sentence her, for the same, to pay unto the County Treasurer forty shillings money, or to be whipt ten stripes on the naked body. She is allowed till the last of November to pay the fine. A true copy of the Record, as far as it respects the said Mary Ransford, her examination and fine.

And now the poor woman found that by her oath she had proved her child illegitimate, and thereby denied her marriage, and that her husband dare not own her as a wife; for I think that no woman can be said to be a wife (though ever so lawfully married) if she turn so much against her husband as not only to disobey his most strict commands, but also to prove by her oath that his children are by fornication, as it was in this case. She was also greatly terrified on account of her whipping, to avoid which she some time after made her escape out of the Government, to a remote Island in Rhode Island Government, called Block Island; and in about eight years after she had thus been driven from her husband she was married to one Robert Jones, upon said Island, with whom she still lives in that Government.

More About JOHN ROGERS and MARY RANSFORD:  
Marriage: Abt. 1700, 25 years after his first wife divorced him

Children of JOHN ROGERS and ELIZABETH GRISWOLD are:  
22. i. ELIZABETH<sup>18</sup> ROGERS, b. 1670, CT, USA; d. 1737.  
23. ii. JOHN [JR.] ROGERS, b. Abt. 1673; d. Jun 1753.

Children of JOHN ROGERS and MARY RANSFORD are:  
iii. GERSHOM<sup>18</sup> ROGERS.

Notes for GERSHOM ROGERS:

John Rogers having died intestate, his son John is appointed administrator. The only heirs allowed by the court are the widow, John Rogers, 2d, and Elizabeth Prentice, "only son" and "only daughter," among whom the estate is divided by due course of law. When this form is ended, John Rogers, 2d, ignoring the fact that he, as only son under the law, has "a double portion," and Gershom and Mary, the two children by Mary, are awarded nothing of this estate, pays to each of these a liberal sum out of his own portion for "share in" their "father's estate" (as is still to be seen on the town records).

iv. MARY ROGERS.

10. BATHSHEBA<sup>17</sup> ROGERS (*JAMES [SR.]*<sup>16</sup>, *THOMAS JOHN*<sup>15</sup>, *THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ*<sup>14</sup>, *BERNARD FITZ*<sup>13</sup>, *JOHN (THE MARTYR)*<sup>12</sup>, *JOHN THOMAS*<sup>11</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>10</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>9</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>8</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>7</sup> *ROGER*, *AARON JOHN FITZ*<sup>6</sup>,

AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE) was born 30 Dec 1650 in New London, Conn., and died 23 Nov 1711. She married (1) RICHARD SMITH 04 Mar 1669/70. He died 1682 in Conn. She married (2) SAMUEL [SR.] FOX Aft. 1682.

Notes for BATHSHEBA ROGERS:

She was a zealous follower of the Rogerene sect, founded by her brother John Rogers, and was persecuted and imprisoned for her faith. After her first husband died, she married Samuel Fox in New London, New London County, Connecticut, as his third wife.

From: Anna B. Williams.

The Rogerenes: Part II, History of the Rogerenes. Boston: Stanhope Press, 1904

The next marriage in this family is that of Bathsheba, a beloved daughter. She marries a young man named Richard Smith. A prominent feature in the character of this daughter is her fidelity to her parents and brothers, and especially to her brother John.

John and James Rogers, Jr., in the course of trade, visited Newport, R.I., and there first embraced Sabbatarian principles and were baptized in 1674; Jonathan in 1675; James Rogers, senior, with his wife and daughter Bathsheba, in 1676, and these were received as members of the Seventh Day Church at Newport. 1

There was no printing-press at that time in New London, and had there been it would have served the will of the dominant power, not that of the persecuted few. Bathsheba Smith had been previously fined £5 for attaching a paper to the side of the meeting- house, setting forth their grievances.

Notes for RICHARD SMITH:

He became a freeman in 1647, a Constable in 1648, in 1664, patented 35 acres in Smithtown [Eng. 1643, Prominent Southhampton, Long Island, New York].

More About RICHARD SMITH and BATHSHEBA ROGERS:

Marriage: 04 Mar 1669/70

More About SAMUEL FOX and BATHSHEBA ROGERS:

Marriage: Aft. 1682

Children of BATHSHEBA ROGERS and RICHARD SMITH are:

- i. ELIZABETH<sup>18</sup> SMITH, m. WILLIAM CAMP.

Notes for ELIZABETH SMITH:

James Smith, son of Bathsheba, is a close follower of his uncle John, although his sister Elizabeth (married to William Camp) is a member of the Congregational church, in which her children are baptized.

- ii. RICHARD SMITH.
- iii. ANNE SMITH.
- iv. JAMES SMITH.

Notes for JAMES SMITH:

James Smith, son of Bathsheba, is a close follower of his uncle John, although his sister Elizabeth (married to William Camp) is a member of the Congregational church, in which her children are baptized.

- v. JOHN SMITH.
24. vi. BATHSHEBA SMITH.

Child of BATHSHEBA ROGERS and SAMUEL FOX is:

- vii. SAMUEL [JR.]<sup>18</sup> FOX.

**11.** JAMES [LAWYER]<sup>17</sup> ROGERS (*JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 15 Feb 1651/52. He married MARY JORDAN. She was born in Ireland.

Notes for JAMES [LAWYER] ROGERS:

his principal portion in the lifetime of his father is the good ship of which he is master. His ability to navigate and command a foreign bound vessel at such an age is sufficient guarantee of the skill and enterprise of this youth. In 1674, the young shipmaster has (according to tradition in that branch of the family – Caulkins) among his passengers to Connecticut a family emigrating from Ireland, one member of which is an attractive young woman twenty years of age. Before the vessel touches port, the young captain and his fair passenger are betrothed, and the marriage takes place soon after. It was a frequent custom in those days, for persons emigrating to the colonies to pay the expenses of their passage by selling their services for a term after landing. Such passengers were called "redemptioners." Thus, Captain James actually purchased, as the term was, his wife Mary.

John and James Rogers, Jr., in the course of trade, visited Newport, R.I., and there first embraced Sabbatarian principles and were baptized in 1674; Jonathan in 1675; James Rogers, senior, with his wife and daughter Bathsheba, in 1676, and these were received as members of the Seventh Day Church at Newport. 1

The property of James Rogers Jr., husband of Mary Jordan, .."was levied upon and sold to pay fines imposed by the established church (Congregational) for defiance of its ordinances. He was imprisoned for his adherence to the Rogerene church. He was referred to as a "mariner". He had a tannery and a cooperage for making barrels. He owned much land. "

1709; In this year, James Rogers, Jr., is admitted to the bar, and soon becomes a prominent lawyer of this vicinity.

Child of JAMES ROGERS and MARY JORDAN is:

- i. WILLIAM<sup>18</sup> ROGERS, b. 1670.

**12.** JONATHAN<sup>17</sup> ROGERS (*JAMES [SR.]*<sup>16</sup>, *THOMAS JOHN*<sup>15</sup>, *THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ*<sup>14</sup>, *BERNARD FITZ*<sup>13</sup>, *JOHN (THE MARTYR)*<sup>12</sup>, *JOHN THOMAS*<sup>11</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>10</sup>, *THOMAS*<sup>9</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>8</sup>, *JOHN FITZ*<sup>7</sup> *ROGER*, *AARON JOHN FITZ*<sup>6</sup>, *AARON FITZ*<sup>5</sup>, *ROGER [II OF SICILY]*<sup>4</sup> *TANCRED*, *COUNT II*<sup>3</sup> *ROGER, KING OF SICILY*, *ROGER*<sup>2</sup>*I. COUNT OF SICILY, TANCRED*<sup>1</sup> *DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 31 Dec 1655 in New London, Conn., and died 1697 in drowned near Gull Island, New London. He married NAOMI BURDICK 03 Mar 1677/78 in Westerly, Rhode Island, daughter of ROBERT BURDICK and RUTH HUBBARD. She was born 1657 in Westerly, RI, and died 03 Feb 1714/15 in New London, New London, CT.

Notes for JONATHAN ROGERS:

Jonathan Rogers (twenty years of age) is baptized. Following this baptism, John, James, Japhet and Jonathan are received as members of the Sabbatarian church of Newport, by prayer and laying on of hands

Jonathan, the young lover. He is ready to believe that a religion good enough for so conscientious and godly a man as Mr. Samuel Hubbard is good enough for him. He judges that his father and brothers are going too far, not only in this, but in braving constant fines and imprisonments by so openly working upon the first day of the week. Evidently, Jonathan cannot remain with the little church of which John is the pastor. Yet in dropping him, by his own desire, from their devoted band, they merely leave him in the church of Newport, of which they themselves are yet members (and will be for years to come), although they have made their own church a somewhat distinct and peculiar branch. 1 There is no sign of any break with the beloved son and brother, in friendliness or affection (now or afterwards), on account of this difference of opinion.

In March, 1678, Jonathan is married to Naomi; he brings her to the Great Neck, to a handsome farm by the shore, provided for them by his father, close bordering the home farms of his father and brothers. 2 This is an affectionate family group, despite some few differences in religious belief. It is evident enough to these logicians that He who commanded men to love even their enemies, allowed no lack of affection on the part of relatives, for any cause.

When the church at Newport learns that the name of Jonathan Rogers has been erased from the roll of the Connecticut church, because of his more conservative views, representatives are sent to New London to inquire into the matter.

More About JONATHAN ROGERS and NAOMI BURDICK:

Marriage: 03 Mar 1677/78, Westerly, Rhode Island

Children of JONATHAN ROGERS and NAOMI BURDICK are:

- i. RUTH<sup>18</sup> RODGERS, b. 1669, New London, CT, USA; d. 1718.
- ii. CONTENT MAXON ROGERS, b. 1688, New London, New London, CT, USA; d. 17 Jul 1768, Westerly, Washington, RI, USA.
- iii. JONATHAN ROGERS, b. 1694, New London, CT, USA.
- iv. RUTH ROGERS, b. 1681; d. 1686.
- v. RACHEL ROGERS, b. 1692, New London, New London, CT, USA; d. 1754, RI, USA.

**13.** ELIZABETH<sup>17</sup> ROGERS (*JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 15 Apr 1658 in New London, Conn., and died 10 Jun 1716 in Orient, Suffolk, New York. She married ROBERT MAINWARING.

Child of ELIZABETH ROGERS and ROBERT MAINWARING is:

25. i. CHRISTOPHER<sup>18</sup> MAINWARING.

**14.** JOSEPH<sup>17</sup> ROGERS (*JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 14 May 1646 in New London, Conn., and died 08 Nov 1713. He married (1) SARAH HAUGHTON, daughter of RICHARD HAUGHTON and KATHERINE SHORE. He married (2) SARAH HOUGHTON, daughter of RICHARD HAUGHTON and KATHERINE SHORE.

Notes for JOSEPH ROGERS:

Our glimpses of Joseph Rogers are meagre. He and his wife appear not to have joined the Newport church, but were evidently members of the church of which John Rogers was pastor. (We have seen the wife's baptism, Chapter II.) Yet, of late years, Joseph has been scarcely more noticeable than Jonathan, as regards arraignment for labor on the first day of the week, which, as in case of the latter, appears to prove that his labor was not of an ostentatious character. That he was steady, thrifty, industrious and enterprising is very evident. He added largely, by purchase, to the lands given him by his father, and had become proprietor of a saw-mill and corn-mill at Lyme. He died intestate, and his widow, Sarah, administered on his estate. Sarah Rogers now carries forward the suit in which her husband was engaged. The court appears not unfavorable to her presentation of the case; but, on account of a neglect on her part in regard to certain technicalities, the trial comes to a pause, and, through lack of further action on her part, the case is again decided in favor of Jonathan.

Children of JOSEPH ROGERS and SARAH HAUGHTON are:

- i. JAMES<sup>18</sup> ROGERS, m. SARAH [STEEVENS] STEPHENS.

Notes for JAMES ROGERS:

Samuel, son of Joseph, now of Westerly, has become a member of the Congregational church, while his older brother James, an enterprising young man, is of the Baptist persuasion.

- ii. SAMUEL ROGERS, b. Abt. 1666.

Notes for SAMUEL ROGERS:

Samuel, son of Joseph, now of Westerly, has become a member of the Congregational church, while his older brother James, an enterprising young man, is of the Baptist persuasion.

Child of JOSEPH ROGERS and SARAH HOUGHTON is:

26. iii. JAMES<sup>18</sup> ROGERS.

**15.** JOHN<sup>17</sup> ROGERS (*JOHN<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 01 Mar 1640/41 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts, and died 28 Jun 1732 in Barrington, Providence, Rhode Island. He married (1) ELIZABETH PABODIE 16 Nov 1666 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts. She was born 24 Apr 1647 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts. He married (2) HANNAH

HOBART 21 Oct 1679 in Hingham, Bristol, Rhode Island.

More About JOHN ROGERS and ELIZABETH PABODIE:  
Marriage: 16 Nov 1666, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts

More About JOHN ROGERS and HANNAH HOBART:  
Marriage: 21 Oct 1679, Hingham, Bristol, Rhode Island

Children of JOHN ROGERS and ELIZABETH PABODIE are:

27. i. HANNAH<sup>18</sup> ROGERS, b. 16 Nov 1668, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts; d. 01 Jun 1747, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts.
- ii. JOHN ROGERS, b. 22 Sep 1670.
- iii. ELIZABETH ROGERS, b. 16 Apr 1673, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts; d. 23 Oct 1734, Dartmouth, Bristol, Massachusetts; m. SILVESTER RICHMOND; b. 1672, Little Compton, Newport, Rhode Island.
- iv. RUTH ROGERS, b. 18 Apr 1675.
- v. SARAH ROGERS, b. 04 May 1677, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts; d. 19 Jan 1770, Little Compton, Newport, Rhode Island; m. NATHANIEL SEARLE, 1695, Little Compton, Newport, Rhode Island; b. 09 Jun 1662, Dorchester, Suffolk, Massachusetts.

More About NATHANIEL SEARLE and SARAH ROGERS:  
Marriage: 1695, Little Compton, Newport, Rhode Island

#### Generation No. 5

**16. MARY<sup>18</sup> ROGERS** (*SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCRED, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCRED<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 17 Apr 1667 in New London, Connecticut, and died 30 Sep 1756 in Hebron, Connecticut. She married (1) ? WELLS Bef. 1684. She married (2) SAMUEL [CAPT.] GILBERT 02 Oct 1684 in Hartford, Connecticut. He was born 05 Aug 1664 in Hartford, Connecticut.

Notes for MARY ROGERS:

Ensign Samuel Gilbert of Hartford, vs. Mrs. Elizabeth Wells of Hartford, administratrix on her husband's estate, for debt. Withdrawn.

More About ? WELLS and MARY ROGERS:  
Marriage: Bef. 1684

More About SAMUEL GILBERT and MARY ROGERS:  
Marriage: 02 Oct 1684, Hartford, Connecticut

Children of MARY ROGERS and SAMUEL GILBERT are:

- i. JONATHAN<sup>19</sup> GILBERT, b. 29 Jun 1685.
28. ii. SAMUEL [JR.] GILBERT, b. 05 Feb 1687/88, Hartford, Connecticut; d. 01 May 1761, Hebron, Connecticut.
- iii. NATHANIEL GILBERT, b. 26 Sep 1690; m. MARY BISSELL.
- iv. JOHN GILBERT, b. 12 Apr 1692; m. (1) MARY COOK; m. (2) SUSANNAH ?.
- v. MARY GILBERT, b. 02 Dec 1696; m. ? PRINCE; b. Boston, MA.
- vi. UNKNOWN GILBERT, b. 20 Aug 1699.
- vii. ANN GILBERT, b. Abt. 1700; m. JAMES HARRIS.
- viii. DANIEL GILBERT, b. Abt. 1703; m. MARY BECKWITH.
- ix. LYDIA GILBERT, b. 04 Sep 1707; m. HEZIKIAH BECKWITH.
- x. RACHEL GILBERT, b. 17 Sep 1707.
- xi. MERCY GILBERT, b. 04 Oct 1709; m. JOSEPH PRENTISS, 02 May 1727.

More About JOSEPH PRENTISS and MERCY GILBERT:  
Marriage: 02 May 1727

**17. SAMUEL [II]<sup>18</sup> ROGERS** (*SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON*

*JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 22 Dec 1669 in New London, Conn., and died 02 Feb 1742/43 in New London, CT. He married (1) PALATIAH AMES STEBBINS. He married (2) ABIGAIL PLUMB 06 Jan 1694/95, daughter of JOHN PLUMBE and ELIZABETH GREEN. She was born 1671 in CT, and died 07 Jun 1732.

Notes for SAMUEL [II] ROGERS:

His father trained him to take over the family bakery business before he left to live in Montville.

Samuel, son of Samuel, married a member of the Congregational church. He continued the bakery on its old scale, had landed interests in the neighboring country, and was surveyor for the town of New London.

Notes for ABIGAIL PLUMB:

The Plumb family, came from County Essex, England via John and Elizabeth Plumb. They had a son, Robert who was born around 1530 and died 18 May 1613.

Robert married (1) Elizabeth Purcus and (2) Mrs. Ethelred Fuller. They lived at Great Yeldham, County Essex and had a son, Robert who was born around 1558 and died 14 Aug 1628.

This son Robert married Grace Crackbone. Robert and Grace had a son, John, born 28 July 1594 Spaynes Hall, Essex.

John married Dorothy Wood in 1616. Their son, Robert, was our immigrant ancestor.

Robert Plumb, accepted as son of George and Grace of Inworth, County Essex, was born in England around 1634 and died in 1696. He married Elizabeth Green (daughter of Samuel and Jane (Banbridge or Bainbridge) Green) in 1662.

He was first in New London, CT around 1662.

Robert and Elizabeth had 7 children: John b. 1666 (married Elizabeth Hempsted); Samuel b. 1669; Joseph b. 1671; Green b. 1673 (married Mary Hempsted); Mercy b. 1677; George b. 1679 and Sarah b. 1682.

More About SAMUEL ROGERS and ABIGAIL PLUMB:

Marriage: 06 Jan 1694/95

Children of SAMUEL ROGERS and ABIGAIL PLUMB are:

- i. ANNE<sup>19</sup> ROGERS, b. 24 Apr 1698.
29. ii. SAMUEL [III] ROGERS, b. 08 May 1702, New London, Conn..
- iii. MARY ROGERS, b. Dec 1704, New London, CT, USA.
- iv. THOMAS ROGERS, b. Jul 1707, New London, CT, USA.
- v. GEORGE ROGERS, b. 21 May 1710, New London, CT, USA.
- vi. MERCY ANN ROGERS, b. 11 Jul 1712, New London, CT, USA; d. 1756, Estage; m. BENJAMIN GREENE.
- vii. ABIGAIL ROGERS, b. 08 Dec 1700, New London, CT, USA.
- viii. JOHNATHAN ROGERS, b. 1709, New London, New London, CT, USA.
- ix. DANIEL ROGERS, b. 1711, New London, New London, CT, USA.

**18.** ELIZABETH [TWIN?] <sup>18</sup> ROGERS (*SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 08 May 1673 in New London, Connecticut, and died 1750. She married (1) THEOPHILUS STANTON 05 Jan 1698/99, son of JOHN STANTON and HANNAH THOMPSON. He was born 1676, and died 1705. She married (2) ASA HARRIS 17 Mar 1709/10 in New London, Connecticut. He was born 10 Nov 1680 in Boston, Suffolk Co., Massachusetts.

More About ELIZABETH [TWIN?] ROGERS:

Fact 1: twin to Anna

Notes for THEOPHILUS STANTON:

Elizabeth's cousin

More About THEOPHILUS STANTON and ELIZABETH ROGERS:

Marriage: 05 Jan 1698/99

More About ASA HARRIS and ELIZABETH ROGERS:

Marriage: 17 Mar 1709/10, New London, Connecticut

Children of ELIZABETH ROGERS and THEOPHILUS STANTON are:

- i. ELIZABETH<sup>19</sup> STANTON.
30. ii. MARY STANTON, b. 14 Dec 1701, Stonington, New London, Connecticut; d. New London, Connecticut.
- iii. THEOPHILUS STANTON, b. 05 Dec 1703.

Children of ELIZABETH ROGERS and ASA HARRIS are:

- iv. ASA<sup>19</sup> HARRIS, b. 27 Nov 1709.
- v. ANNAH HARRIS, b. 27 Mar 1711.
- vi. EPHRAIM HARRIS, b. 28 Dec 1712.
- vii. MERCY HARRIS, b. 14 Nov 1714.

**19.** SARAH<sup>18</sup> ROGERS (*SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 09 Aug 1676 in New London, Conn., and died 13 Nov 1748. She married JAMES [LT.] HARRIS 1696, son of JAMES HARRIS and SARAH DENNISON.

More About JAMES HARRIS and SARAH ROGERS:

Marriage: 1696

Child of SARAH ROGERS and JAMES HARRIS is:

31. i. JONATHAN<sup>19</sup> HARRIS.

**20.** DANIEL [SR.]<sup>18</sup> ROGERS (*SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born Abt. 1678 in New London, Connecticut, and died 1772. He married GRACE WILLIAMS 24 Sep 1702 in New London, Connecticut. She was born Abt. 1683.

Notes for DANIEL [SR.] ROGERS:

Daniel Rogers , Sr. died circa 1772.

Tradition says that his appearance in the last years of his life was that of a venerable old man, his long gray hair covering his shoulders; and when seen in the field without a hat upon his head, which was his usual custom, he had the appearance of an old prophet.

Daniel Rogers was one of the sturdy farmers of New England. He could do a full day's mowing in the meadow with the scythe when he was 95 years old. At the age of 100, he ran away from home a distance of 4 miles, to visit a friend. It was in the busy haying season and the children would not take the trouble to accommodate him with any means of conveyance.

He lived 105 years

More About DANIEL ROGERS and GRACE WILLIAMS:

Marriage: 24 Sep 1702, New London, Connecticut

Children of DANIEL ROGERS and GRACE WILLIAMS are:

32. i. ALPHEUS [SR.]<sup>19</sup> ROGERS.
- ii. THOMAS ROGERS, m. SARAH FITCH, 07 Apr 1751; b. 02 Mar 1728/29, New London, Conn..

More About THOMAS ROGERS and SARAH FITCH:

Marriage: 07 Apr 1751

33. iii. DANIEL [JR.] ROGERS.
- iv. EBENEZER ROGERS, m. DOROTHY [DENISON] DENNISON.
- v. GRACE ROGERS, m. ELISHA MIRICK.
- vi. MARY ROGERS, m. THOMAS BOLLES.

- vii. ANNA ROGERS, m. ? BAKER.
- viii. JAMES ROGERS.
- ix. LEBBEUS ROGERS.
- x. GRACE ROGERS, b. 1703.
- xi. NATHANIEL ROGERS, b. 08 Jun 1718; d. 27 Aug 1776; m. REBECCA SYMONDS, 11 May 1741; b. 20 Nov 1719, maryland; d. 06 Dec 1789, vermont.

More About NATHANIEL ROGERS and REBECCA SYMONDS:  
 Marriage: 11 May 1741

**21.** JONATHAN [SR.]<sup>18</sup> ROGERS (*SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born Abt. 1680 in New London, Conn., and died 1769. He married ELIZABETH PEMBERTON 1708.

More About JONATHAN ROGERS and ELIZABETH PEMBERTON:  
 Marriage: 1708

Children of JONATHAN ROGERS and ELIZABETH PEMBERTON are:

- i. DOROTHY<sup>19</sup> ROGERS.
- ii. ELIZABETH ROGERS.
- iii. JAMES ROGERS.
- iv. JOSEPH ROGERS.
- v. JONATHAN [JR.] ROGERS.
- vi. MARY ROGERS.
- vii. HEPSIBAH ROGERS.
- viii. LYDIA ROGERS.
- ix. AZUBA ROGERS.
- x. SARAH ROGERS.

**22.** ELIZABETH<sup>18</sup> ROGERS (*JOHN [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 1670 in CT, USA, and died 1737. She married STEVEN [PRENTICE] PRENTISS, son of ESTHER (HESTER) NICHOLS. He was born 26 Dec 1666 in New London, New London, CT, USA, and died Feb 1758 in Millstone Point, CT, USA.

Notes for ELIZABETH ROGERS:

In this year, 1685, her mother and the Griswolds to return to her father; she who left him a child of three years. She is still the only daughter of her mother, and, by affirmation of both her brothers, John Rogers, 2d, and Peter Pratt: a most lovable character.

Her free committal of this girl child to the care and training of John Rogers, gives proof conclusive that "Elizabeth, daughter of Matthew Griswold," however she may disapprove of her former husband's religious course, knows well of the uprightness of his character and the kindness of his heart.

Notes for STEVEN [PRENTICE] PRENTISS:

Stephen Prentis eventually became one of the prominent and wealthy citizens of the place, a holder of local and colonial offices, captain of a train band, attorney and also a farmer on a large scale. He was a member of the Congregational church through life, as was also his wife. Their home farm was near what is now Mill Stone Point.

Children of ELIZABETH ROGERS and STEVEN PRENTISS are:

- i. JOSEPH<sup>19</sup> PRENTICE, b. 27 May 1701, New London, CT, USA; d. 09 Nov 1773, New London, CT, USA.
- ii. HANNAH PRENTICE, b. 05 Jan 1696/97, New London, New London, CT, USA; d. 07 Jan 1785.

**23.** JOHN [JR.]<sup>18</sup> ROGERS (*JOHN [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF*

*SICILY, TANCREDD<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born Abt. 1673, and died Jun 1753. He married BATHSHEBA SMITH, daughter of RICHARD SMITH and BATHSHEBA ROGERS.

Notes for JOHN [JR.] ROGERS:

1684.

A YOUTH is growing up at Lyme, in regard to whom Matthew Griswold and his daughter Elizabeth may well feel some concern, although it afterwards appears that he is one of the brightest and manliest boys in the colony. This is none other than John Rogers, Jr. For five years past, his mother has been the wife of Peter Pratt, of Lyme, who has a son by this marriage. That gentleman is doomed to suffer no little trouble of conscience in regard to his marriage to the wife of John Rogers, having himself come to doubt that any valid reasons for the divorce ever existed.

And here a just tribute may be paid to John Rogers, 2d, from whom we have so largely quoted. The appreciative reader will agree with us in saying he was a son worthy of the father, in defence of whose honor he wrote. Clear in his statement of facts, conclusive in his reasoning, and abundantly supplied with authority in proof of his assertions, his words bear the sacred impress of truth. Malice has raised no aspersions against his character. "Notwithstanding," says Miss Caulkins, "his long testimony and his many weary trials and imprisonments, he reared to maturity a family of eighteen children, most of them, like their parents, sturdy Rogerenes." As soon as he was able to make choice for himself, about the age of sixteen, he left the home of his grandfather, Matthew Griswold of Lyme, the ancestor of many noted men, and chose to live with his father. His sister did the same thing at the age of fourteen, and was married at her father's house. A purer, sweeter, and higher tribute could scarcely be paid to that heroic defender of religious liberty and great sufferer for conscience' sake.

John Rogers having died intestate, his son John is appointed administrator. The only heirs allowed by the court are the widow, John Rogers, 2d, and Elizabeth Prentice, "only son" and "only daughter," among whom the estate is divided by due course of law. When this form is ended, John Rogers, 2d, ignoring the fact that he, as only son under the law, has "a double portion," and Gershom and Mary, the two children by Mary, are awarded nothing of this estate, pays to each of these a liberal sum out of his own portion for "share in" their "father's estate" (as is still to be seen on the town records).

James, son of John Rogers, 2d, a young Rogerene of great business ability, marries a daughter of Mr. Joseph Harris, and permits his wife to have her child baptized in the Congregational church, of which she is a member. Evidently, the New London Rogerenes agree with St. Paul in this regard. I Cor. vii, 14. About 1740, Capt. Benjamin Greene, of Rhode Island — a younger brother of Gov. William Greene — established a home farm near Mamacock, at the point caned "Scotch Cap." He is not only a shipmaster but the owner of several vessels and their cargoes. His brother, the governor, is a frequent visitor at Scotch Cap. The wife of Captain Greene is of the Angell family of Rhode Island. Delight, daughter of Capt. Benjamin Greene, marries John, son of John Rogers, 2d. The Greenes are of both Quaker and Baptist sympathies. Samuel Rogers, son of John, 2d, marries a daughter of Stephen Gardner, from Rhode Island, whose family are of Quaker origin. The other marriageable son of this date weds a daughter of Mr. John Savol (or Saville), a prominent member of the Congregational church, afterwards of Norwich. One daughter of John Rogers, 2d, marries a son of John Bolles; another marries a young man of Groton whose father is an enterprising business man from Rhode Island; the other four daughters marry sons of members of the Congregational church (New London and elsewhere), of high standing and ample means.

In June, 1753, occurs the death of John Rogers, 2d, in his eightieth year. To him is largely due the size and strength of a sect that has called for the bravest of the brave — and found them. Fifteen children gather at Mamacock, to follow the remains of this honored and beloved father to the grave, eight sons and seven daughters, of the average age of thirty-four years, the eldest (son) being fifty-two and the youngest (son) fourteen years of age. Besides these, with their families, and the widow in her prime, is the large gathering of Bolleses and other friends and followers in the locality, also those of Groton and doubtless many from other places.

In another decade, 1775, is heard the trumpet call of the Revolution. It is more than probable that a people of such courage and love of liberty have some difficulty at this time in keeping their sentiments within scriptural limits, and still more difficulty in holding back their youth from the fray. Not a few grandsons of John Rogers, 2d, and John Bolles, as well as other Rogerene youth, break away. One of them crosses the Delaware with Washington, and another is in the body-guard of the great general. The young volunteers of this blood and training fight

bravely on land and sea. Some of them die on the field and some in loathsome prison ships. Outside of the John Rogers descent, many are the descendants of James Rogers, 1st, that join the Continental army and navy.

Children of JOHN ROGERS and BATHSHEBA SMITH are:

- i. MARY<sup>19</sup> ROGERS, b. 10 May 1710, New London, Ct.; d. 09 Nov 1745, New London, CT, USA; m. EBENEZER BOLLES, 27 Nov 1727; b. 12 Jul 1708, New London, N London, CT; d. 24 Jun 1762, New London, New London, CT, USA.

More About EBENEZER BOLLES and MARY ROGERS:  
Marriage: 27 Nov 1727

34. ii. JOHN [III] ROGERS, b. 14 Apr 1724, New London, CT, USA; d. 1799, Waterford, CT, USA.
- iii. ALEXANDER ROGERS.

Notes for ALEXANDER ROGERS:

All the sons of John Rogers have been well educated; Alexander appears to be a schoolmaster of uncommon ability, although farmer and shoe-maker as well.

Two sons and two grandsons of Timothy Watrous married daughters of Alexander Rogers of Quaker Hill (one of the younger sons of John, 2d).

- iv. ELIZABETH ROGERS, m. ZACHRIA WHIPPLE.
- v. SAMUEL ROGERS, b. Abt. 1700; m. ? GARDINER.

Notes for SAMUEL ROGERS:

All the sons of John Rogers have been well educated;

- vi. JAMES ROGERS, b. 07 Dec 1701; d. 29 Mar 1754; m. HANNAH ELLIS, 08 Dec 1726.

Notes for JAMES ROGERS:

All the sons of John Rogers have been well educated;

More About JAMES ROGERS and HANNAH ELLIS:  
Marriage: 08 Dec 1726

**24. BATHSHEBA<sup>18</sup> SMITH (BATHSHEBA<sup>17</sup> ROGERS, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCRED, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCRED<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE)** She married JOHN [JR.] ROGERS, son of JOHN ROGERS and ELIZABETH GRISWOLD. He was born Abt. 1673, and died Jun 1753.

Notes for JOHN [JR.] ROGERS:

1684.

A YOUTH is growing up at Lyme, in regard to whom Matthew Griswold and his daughter Elizabeth may well feel some concern, although it afterwards appears that he is one of the brightest and manliest boys in the colony. This is none other than John Rogers, Jr. For five years past, his mother has been the wife of Peter Pratt, of Lyme, who has a son by this marriage. That gentleman is doomed to suffer no little trouble of conscience in regard to his marriage to the wife of John Rogers, having himself come to doubt that any valid reasons for the divorce ever existed.

And here a just tribute may be paid to John Rogers, 2d, from whom we have so largely quoted. The appreciative reader will agree with us in saying he was a son worthy of the father, in defence of whose honor he wrote. Clear in his statement of facts, conclusive in his reasoning, and abundantly supplied with authority in proof of his assertions, his words bear the sacred impress of truth. Malice has raised no aspersions against his character. "Notwithstanding," says Miss Caulkins, "his long testimony and his many weary trials and imprisonments, he reared to maturity a family of eighteen children, most of them, like their parents, sturdy Rogerenes." As soon as he was able to make choice for himself, about the age of sixteen, he left the home of his grandfather, Matthew Griswold of Lyme, the ancestor of many noted men, and chose to live with his father. His sister did the same thing at the age of fourteen, and was married at her father's house. A purer, sweeter, and higher tribute could scarcely be paid to that heroic defender of religious liberty and great sufferer for conscience' sake.

John Rogers having died intestate, his son John is appointed administrator. The only heirs allowed by the court are the widow, John Rogers, 2d, and Elizabeth Prentice, "only son" and "only daughter," among whom the estate is divided by due course of law. When this form is ended, John Rogers, 2d, ignoring the fact that he, as only son under the law, has "a double portion," and Gershom and Mary, the two children by Mary, are awarded nothing of this estate, pays to each of these a liberal sum out of his own portion for "share in" their "father's estate" (as is still to be seen on the town records).

James, son of John Rogers, 2d, a young Rogerene of great business ability, marries a daughter of Mr. Joseph Harris, and permits his wife to have her child baptized in the Congregational church,<sup>l</sup> of which she is a member. Evidently, the New London Rogerenes agree with St. Paul in this regard. I Cor. vii, 14. About 1740, Capt. Benjamin Greene, of Rhode Island — a younger brother of Gov. William Greene — established a home farm near Mamacock, at the point caned "Scotch Cap." He is not only a shipmaster but the owner of several vessels and their cargoes. His brother, the governor, is a frequent visitor at Scotch Cap. The wife of Captain Greene is of the Angell family of Rhode Island. Delight, daughter of Capt. Benjamin Greene, marries John, son of John Rogers, 2d. The Greenes are of both Quaker and Baptist sympathies. Samuel Rogers, son of John, 2d, marries a daughter of Stephen Gardner, from Rhode Island, whose family are of Quaker origin. The other marriageable son of this date weds a daughter of Mr. John Savol (or Saville), a prominent member of the Congregational church, afterwards of Norwich. One daughter of John Rogers, 2d, marries a son of John Bolles; another marries a young man of Groton whose father is an enterprising business man from Rhode Island; the other four daughters marry sons of members of the Congregational church (New London and elsewhere), of high standing and ample means.

In June, 1753, occurs the death of John Rogers, 2d, in his eightieth year. To him is largely due the size and strength of a sect that has called for the bravest of the brave — and found them. Fifteen children gather at Mamacock, to follow the remains of this honored and beloved father to the grave, eight sons and seven daughters, of the average age of thirty-four years, the eldest (son) being fifty-two and the youngest (son) fourteen years of age. Besides these, with their families, and the widow in her prime, is the large gathering of Bolleses and other friends and followers in the locality, also those of Groton and doubtless many from other places.

In another decade, 1775, is heard the trumpet call of the Revolution. It is more than probable that a people of such courage and love of liberty have some difficulty at this time in keeping their sentiments within scriptural limits, and still more difficulty in holding back their youth from the fray. Not a few grandsons of John Rogers, 2d, and John Bolles, as well as other Rogerene youth, break away. One of them crosses the Delaware with Washington, and another is in the body-guard of the great general. The young volunteers of this blood and training fight bravely on land and sea. Some of them die on the field and some in loathsome prison ships.<sup>l</sup> Outside of the John Rogers descent, many are the descendants of James Rogers, 1st, that join the Continental army and navy.

Children are listed above under (23) John [Jr.] Rogers.

**25.** CHRISTOPHER<sup>18</sup> MAINWARING (*ELIZABETH<sup>17</sup> ROGERS, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDD, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDD<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) He married ? WOLCOTT.

Child of CHRISTOPHER MAINWARING and ? WOLCOTT is:

- i. ROBERT A. [DOCTOR]<sup>19</sup> MAINWARING.

**26.** JAMES<sup>18</sup> ROGERS (*JOSEPH<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDD, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDD<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) He married SARAH [STEEVENS] STEPHENS.

Child of JAMES ROGERS and SARAH STEPHENS is:

35. i. SARAH<sup>19</sup> ROGERS, b. 12 May 1700, New London, Ct..

**27.** HANNAH<sup>18</sup> ROGERS (*JOHN<sup>17</sup>, JOHN<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE*

MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE) was born 16 Nov 1668 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts, and died 01 Jun 1747 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts. She married SAMUEL BRADFORD. He was born 1668 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts.

Children of HANNAH ROGERS and SAMUEL BRADFORD are:

- i. HANNAH<sup>19</sup> BRADFORD, b. 14 Feb 1689/90.
- ii. GERSHOM BRADFORD, b. 21 Dec 1691.
- iii. PEREZ BRADFORD, b. 28 Dec 1694.
- iv. ELIZABETH BRADFORD, b. 15 Dec 1696.
- v. JERUSHA BRADFORD, b. 10 Mar 1698/99.
- vi. WELTHEA BRADFORD, b. 15 May 1702.
36. vii. GAMALIEL BRADFORD, b. 18 May 1704, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts; d. 24 Apr 1778, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts.

#### Generation No. 6

**28.** SAMUEL [JR.]<sup>19</sup> GILBERT (MARY<sup>18</sup> ROGERS, SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE) was born 05 Feb 1687/88 in Hartford, Connecticut, and died 01 May 1761 in Hebron, Connecticut. He married MERCY WARNER Abt. 1709 in Deerfield, Massachusetts. She was born 25 Sep 1685 in Deerfield, Massachusetts.

More About SAMUEL GILBERT and MERCY WARNER:

Marriage: Abt. 1709, Deerfield, Massachusetts

Children of SAMUEL GILBERT and MERCY WARNER are:

- i. ABILINA<sup>20</sup> GILBERT.
- ii. JOHN GILBERT.
- iii. SARAH GILBERT, b. 10 Mar 1710/11.
- iv. SAMUEL GILBERT, b. 01 May 1712.
- v. ELISHA GILBERT, b. 10 Dec 1717.
- vi. MARY GILBERT, b. 24 Aug 1719.
- vii. HANNAH GILBERT, b. 08 Apr 1722.
- viii. EZRA GILBERT, b. 13 May 1726.
- ix. MERCY GILBERT, b. 17 Nov 1727, Hebron, Connecticut; d. 17 Oct 1818, Bolton, Connecticut; m. DANIEL DART, 07 Jun 1752, Bolton, Connecticut; b. 26 Jan 1725/26, Bolton, Connecticut.

More About DANIEL DART and MERCY GILBERT:

Marriage: 07 Jun 1752, Bolton, Connecticut

- x. EBENEZER GILBERT, b. 09 Apr 1732.

**29.** SAMUEL [III]<sup>19</sup> ROGERS (SAMUEL [II]<sup>18</sup>, SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE) was born 08 May 1702 in New London, Conn.. He married LUCY [DENISON] DENNISON 1730, daughter of ROBERT DENNISON and JOANNA STANTON. She was born 05 Jul 1710 in New London, New London, CT, USA.

Notes for SAMUEL [III] ROGERS:

10 May 1702 - christening date; DENISON GENEALOGY, by E. Glenn Denison, Josephine Middleton Peck, Donald L. Jacobus, The Pequot Press, Inc. Stonington, CT, 1963. Page 14.

1790 census: New London, Conn.

More About SAMUEL ROGERS and LUCY DENNISON:

Marriage: 1730

Children of SAMUEL ROGERS and LUCY DENNISON are:

- i. PRUDENCE<sup>20</sup> ROGERS, b. 09 Dec 1734, New London, New London Co., Conn.; d. 05 Oct 1781, Bozrah, Conn; m. DANIEL HARRIS, 17 Feb 1751/52; b. 27 May 1726, New London, New London Co., Connecticut.; d. 07 Sep 1819.

Notes for DANIEL HARRIS:  
died at age 93  
Revol. War in Capt Durkees Co.

More About DANIEL HARRIS and PRUDENCE ROGERS:  
Marriage: 17 Feb 1751/52

- ii. JAMES [II] ROGERS, b. 08 Feb 1739/40, New London, New London, CT, USA; d. 28 Sep 1820, Norwich, New London, CT, USA; m. ZILPHA HYDE, 1762; b. 08 Nov 1735; d. 10 Mar 1814.

Notes for JAMES [II] ROGERS:  
1790 census: New London, Conn.

Notes for ZILPHA HYDE:  
Name: Zilpah Rogers Death Date: 10 Mar 1814  
Age: 77 yr. w. of James

More About JAMES ROGERS and ZILPHA HYDE:  
Marriage: 1762

- iii. JEBEZ [SR.] ROGERS, b. 31 Mar 1742, New London, New London Co., Connecticut; d. 01 Oct 1816, Middlebury, Vermont; m. SARAH GORTON, 10 Apr 1766; b. 10 Apr 1740.

Notes for JEBEZ [SR.] ROGERS:  
built the first house in Middlebury, Vt.

More About JEBEZ ROGERS and SARAH GORTON:  
Marriage: 10 Apr 1766

- iv. DANIEL [SR.] ROGERS, b. Abt. 1745, New London, Conn.; d. Aft. 1802, Tennessee; m. HANNAH LATIMER, Abt. 1765; b. 22 Jul 1749, Montville, Connecticut; d. 1808, Tennessee.

Notes for DANIEL [SR.] ROGERS:  
1790 census: New London, Conn.

settled in Tennessee.

Will of Daniel Rogers  
Signed 11/12-Jan-1802

Submitted by Sue Pearson Carpenter, © 1998

Source: Will Book I, pages 57 - 58 (TSLA Microfilm)

In the name of God amen I DANIEL ROGERS of the county of Sumner and the state of Tennessee being of sound and perfect mind and memory, blessed be God, do this eleventh day of January in the year of Our Lord Eighteen hundred and two make and publish this my last will and Testament. \_\_ manner following, that is to say I do give and bequeath to my beloved wife HANNAH one third part of the of land \_ bequest of land here after made to my sons during time that she may \_ my widow. And I do likewise give and bequeath to my said wife one third part of all my personal property. I do likewise give and bequeath to my son SAMUEL one hundred and five acres of land to be taken off the West end of the tract which I now live one it \_ to \_ which I purchased of JOHN GIVEN and one third part of my personal property not above given to my wife on condition hereafter to be mentioned. I do likewise give and bequeath to my son JONATHAN one hundred acres of land lying south of my plantation which I purchased of HENRY ROBERTSON and one third part of my personal property not above given to my wife on conditions hereafter to be mentioned. And I do likewise give and bequeath to my son STANTON eighty acres of land to be laid off at the East end of the tract on which I now live by a north and south line and I likewise one third part of my personal property not above given to my wife under the condition hereafter mentioned, that is to say, the several bequests to my son shall be valued by \_ men and they shall pay half the value to be equally divided between my daughters. LUCY, LUCRETIA, and POLLY in the following manner.

Viz my son SAMUEL shall pay to my daughter LUCY the sum the sum bequeathed to her. At the equal

annual payments, the first to be made within one year from the time that he becomes twenty one years of age, the other two payments to be made annually within the \_\_ year next after her first payment \_\_ son JONATHON shall pay the sum bequeathed to my daughter LUCRETIA at three equal annual payments, the first to be made within one year after she becomes twenty one years of age and the other two payments in the two following years. My son STAUNTON shall pay the sum bequeathed to my daughter POLLY at three equal annual payments, the first to be made within one year after he becomes twenty one years of age, and the other two payments shall be made within the two following years. And I do hereby nominate, appoint, and constitute my son SAMUEL ROGERS and my Brother GRISWORLD LATIMER Executor to this my last will and Testament. In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand and seal the day and year above written.

Daniel Rogers (seal)

His X Mark

The above instrument was signed, sealed, and published as the last will and Testament of Testator DANIEL ROGERS on the twelfth day of January in the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred two in presence of PETER LOONEY JON LATIMER Junior.

Daniel pd Tax in 1794 on 250 acs

THIS WAS A MESSAGE ON THE ROGERS/LATIMER BOARD: Samuel is the only one of these children that I have listed as belonging to this family: They had at least 4 children, Samuel, Carrol, Green and Daniel Jr. Some of the children moved on the Henry County, Tennessee and later into Western Kentucky.

<<<<<note to self: ck. Later, his sons moved to Henry County, Tennessee and then to Western Kentucky.....and....my husband's relatives who first were in Henry Co, TN and then in Graves Co, Ky, and Calloway Co....and...It is my understanding that Hughie Stanton Rogers was born in Sumner County, Tennessee. Unproven research indicates that his grandfather was from North Carolina. Some family members were in eastern Graves County and Calloway County, Kentucky as well as Henry County, Tennessee...and...on Hugh Stanton Rogers in it by a Joyce Burchard. She is descended from Hugh Stanton Rogers and Delilah Brogden thru Cornelius Rogers, who married Delilah Andrus. I am descended from Sterling Rogers of Graves County, Ky., who migrated from Martin County, N.C. about 1833. The Brogden name sounded familiar to me as there was a female Brogden living next to John Rogers, father of Sterling Rogers, in the 1830 census of Martin County, N. C....and... Hugie Stanton Rogers Sr. b 2-22-1817 d. 1-13-1893 married Delilah Brogden b. 9-6-1813 d. 7-13-1884.,in Calloway County, Kentucky...>>>>

Notes for HANNAH LATIMER:

Daniel Rogers of New London CT. married Hannah Latimer and moved with her father , Jonathan Latimer and her several brothers to Station Camp Creek area of Sumner Co. Tn.

DENISON GENEALOGY, by E. Glenn Denison, Josephine Middleton Peck, Donald L.

Jacobus, The Pequot Press, Inc. Stonington, CT, 1963. Page 14. Daniel married Hannah LATIMER, daughter of Jonathan LATIMER and Lucretia GRISWOLD. Hannah was born 19 Sep 1747. She died in Tennessee [some disagreement about Hannah's date of birth].

More About DANIEL ROGERS and HANNAH LATIMER:

Marriage: Abt. 1765

- v. MARY ROGERS, b. 1746, New London, Conn.; d. 18 Feb 1808; m. JOHN BRADFORD; d. 05 Jun 1818, Cornwall, England.
- vi. JOHN ROGERS.

**30.** MARY<sup>19</sup> STANTON (*ELIZABETH [TWIN?]*<sup>18</sup> ROGERS, SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (*THE MARTYR*)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCRED, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCRED<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE) was born 14 Dec 1701 in Stonington, New London, Connecticut, and died in New London, Connecticut. She married JAMES FRINK 22 Nov 1718 in New London, Connecticut. He was born 05 Nov 1697 in Stonington, New London, Connecticut.

More About JAMES FRINK and MARY STANTON:  
Marriage: 22 Nov 1718, New London, Connecticut

Child of MARY STANTON and JAMES FRINK is:  
i. JEDEDIAH<sup>20</sup> FRINK, b. 31 May 1725.

**31.** JONATHAN<sup>19</sup> HARRIS (*SARAH<sup>18</sup> ROGERS, SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) He married RACHAEL OTTIS.

Child of JONATHAN HARRIS and RACHAEL OTTIS is:  
i. ABIGAIL<sup>20</sup> HARRIS, m. OLIVER [MAJOR] DODGE.

**32.** ALPHEUS [SR.]<sup>19</sup> ROGERS (*DANIEL [SR.]<sup>18</sup>, SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) He married DELIGHT HARRIS 31 Jan 1744/45. She was born 17 Oct 1720, and died 10 Mar 1783.

Notes for ALPHEUS [SR.] ROGERS:

Page 47, Volume 3 of New London County, CT. Records:  
Grace d. Alpheus & Delight Rogers b. Jan. 28, 1754  
Alpheus s. Alpheus & Delight Rogers b. Oct.12,1750

Volume 2 Page 95

Alpheus s.Rogers m. Delight Harris, Jan. 31,1745

More About ALPHEUS ROGERS and DELIGHT HARRIS:  
Marriage: 31 Jan 1744/45

Children of ALPHEUS ROGERS and DELIGHT HARRIS are:

- i. ALPHEUS [DOCTOR]<sup>20</sup> ROGERS, b. 12 Oct 1750; d. 13 May 1833; m. LUCRETIA BRADDOCK.
- ii. GRACE ROGERS, b. 28 Jan 1751/52, Salem, Connecticut; d. 06 Jun 1773, Colchester, New London, Connecticut; m. THOMAS HARDING, Abt. 1770.

More About THOMAS HARDING and GRACE ROGERS:  
Marriage: Abt. 1770

**33.** DANIEL [JR.]<sup>19</sup> ROGERS (*DANIEL [SR.]<sup>18</sup>, SAMUEL [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) He married SARAH WILLIAMS.

Children of DANIEL ROGERS and SARAH WILLIAMS are:

- i. EBENEZER<sup>20</sup> ROGERS, b. 03 Jan 1742/43; m. ELIZABETH GATES.
- ii. DELIGHT ROGERS.
- iii. JABEZ ROGERS.
- iv. GURDON ROGERS.

**34.** JOHN [III]<sup>19</sup> ROGERS (*JOHN [JR.]<sup>18</sup>, JOHN [SR.]<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCREDE, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCREDE<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 14 Apr 1724 in New London, CT, USA, and died 1799 in Waterford, CT, USA. He married DELIGHT GREENE, daughter of BENJAMIN [CAPT] GREENE. She was born 30 Jul 1735 in New Londen, New London, CT, USA, and died 02 Nov 1815.

Notes for JOHN [III] ROGERS:

All the sons of John Rogers have been well educated; John has marked literary talent; There is another, John Rogers (3d), who, like his father and grandfather before him, holds the pen of a ready writer. He was born in 1724, three years after the death of his illustrious grandfather. With the rapt attention, the retentive memory and the plastic mind of youth, he has received from his father's lips accounts of the thrilling experiences of the past; as a young man, he has followed the teachings and emulated the deeds of his people. He, too, will sharpen a quill ere long.

Notes for DELIGHT GREENE:

Delight Rogers (wife of John Rogers, 3d) was one of the women imprisoned. Her daughter Anna (mother of John R. Bolles) was born very soon after her release. The near-sightedness of this daughter was attributed to the fact that her mother wept so much during her imprisonment. Delight Rogers sat with the rest in the meeting-house; she did not take any work there. Mr. John R. Bolles in "Reminiscences of his Life," published in a New London paper, said that the venerable Dr. Nathaniel Perkins, who knew Delight

Children of JOHN ROGERS and DELIGHT GREENE are:

- i. BENJAMIN GREENE<sup>20</sup> ROGERS, b. 17 May 1769.

Notes for BENJAMIN GREENE ROGERS:

DAVENPORT, James, of New London, with the approval of his guardian John Owen, bound to Benjamin Rogers of New London until age 21, which will be on 14 Apr. 1800, to learn the trade of cordwainer.

IND. DATE: 15 Mar. 1795  
CSL-010

- ii. SARAH ROGERS, b. 18 May 1772.
- iii. ALEXANDER ROGERS, b. 26 Mar 1775.
- iv. JOHN [IV] ROGERS, b. 14 Oct 1761.
- v. DELIGHT ROGERS, b. 16 Mar 1764, New London, CT, USA; d. Ledyard, CT, USA.
- vi. ANNE ROGERS, b. 13 Jun 1766, New London, CT, USA.
- vii. ALMY ROGERS, b. 07 Sep 1757.
- viii. MARY ROGERS, b. 25 Jan 1756, New London, CT, USA; d. 01 Jul 1841.

**35.** SARAH<sup>19</sup> ROGERS (*JAMES<sup>18</sup>, JOSEPH<sup>17</sup>, JAMES [SR.]<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCRED, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCRED<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 12 May 1700 in New London, Ct.. She married MATTHEW SMITH.

Child of SARAH ROGERS and MATTHEW SMITH is:

- i. THOMAS<sup>20</sup> SMITH, b. 1720; d. 1813; m. LYDIA [FOOT] FOOTE; b. 1727; d. 1816.

**36.** GAMALIEL<sup>19</sup> BRADFORD (*HANNAH<sup>18</sup> ROGERS, JOHN<sup>17</sup>, JOHN<sup>16</sup>, THOMAS JOHN<sup>15</sup>, THOMAS MATTHEW FITZ<sup>14</sup>, BERNARD FITZ<sup>13</sup>, JOHN (THE MARTYR)<sup>12</sup>, JOHN THOMAS<sup>11</sup>, THOMAS<sup>10</sup>, THOMAS<sup>9</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>8</sup>, JOHN FITZ<sup>7</sup> ROGER, AARON JOHN FITZ<sup>6</sup>, AARON FITZ<sup>5</sup>, ROGER [II OF SICILY]<sup>4</sup> TANCRED, COUNT II<sup>3</sup> ROGER, KING OF SICILY, ROGER<sup>2</sup>I, COUNT OF SICILY, TANCRED<sup>1</sup> DE HAUTEVILLE*) was born 18 May 1704 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts, and died 24 Apr 1778 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts. He married ABIGAIL BARTLETT 30 Aug 1728 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts. She was born 18 Mar 1703/04 in Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts.

More About GAMALIEL BRADFORD and ABIGAIL BARTLETT:  
Marriage: 30 Aug 1728, Duxbury, Plymouth, Massachusetts

Child of GAMALIEL BRADFORD and ABIGAIL BARTLETT is:

- i. GAMALIEL<sup>20</sup> BRADFORD, b. 02 Sep 1731.